

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,671	10/519,671 01/06/2005		Young-Geun Park	PARK3031/REF	2611
23364	7590 05/11/2006			EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC				DINH, THU HUONG T	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				2812	· ·
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) U Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is response to a 371 of PCT/KR04/00741 filed on January 06, 2005.

Current Claims 1 to 12 (original) and 13 –20 (new) are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6- 13 and 17 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipation by Matsuyama (U.S. Patent No. 6,415,653 dated July 9, 2002).
- 3. Matsuyama teaches the followings for Claim 1: (a) forming a first mask pattern (112)(Column 7, line 22) for defining a probe tip (Column 11, line 8-21) on a wafer (108)(Column 7, line 7) including a handle layer (102) (Column 7, line 10) on which a mounting block of the probe (122) (Column 7, line 54-55) is formed, an insulating film (104)(Column 7, line 16) on the handle layer (102) and a device layer (108) (Column 7, line 34) in which a cantilever (142) (Column 8, lines 43-44) and a probe tip (Column 11, line 8-21) of the probe (138) are formed (Column 9, lines 19-21); (b) forming a second mask (116) (Column 7, line 42) for defining the cantilever (142) (Column 8, lines 43-44) of the probe (138) (Column 9, lines 19-21) on the device layer (108) (Column 7, line 34) and the first mask (112) (Column 7, line 22); (c) etching the device layer (108) by using the first (112) and the second mask (116) as patterns (Column 7, lines 22 and 42); (d) removing the second mask (116) (Column 7, lines 64-65)(Column 8, lines 18-19 and

29); (e) forming a sidewall passivation layer (132) on a sidewall of the device layer (128) (Column 8, lines 18-19 and 28-29); (f) etching the device layer (108) by using the first mask (112) as a pattern (Column 7, line 22) while leaving a thickness thereof as much as a thickness of the cantilever (142) (Column 7, lines 23-25); (g) removing the first mask (112); it's understood the mask is removed once the etching process is completed; (h) forming the probe tip (Column 11- lines 8-21)by performing a wet etching process (Column 7, lines 66-67 and Column 8, lines 1-4) on the device layer (108); (i) removing the sidewall passivation layer (128) (Column 8, line 26); (j) forming a third mask (110) (Column 7, line 18) for defining the mounting block of the probe (130) on a lower surface (Column 7, line 20) of the handle layer(102)(Column 7, line 21); (k) etching the handle layer (136) by using the third mask (110)as a pattern (Column 13, lines 59-62); and (1) removing the third mask (110). It's understood the mask is removed once the etching process is completed. In addition, Matsuyama shows that: 1)... wherein the wafer is an SOI (silicon on insulator) wafer (108) (Column 7, line 7) including a device layer containing (111) single-crystalline silicon (Column 8, line 9), an insulating oxide film (104) (Column 7, line 15) and a handling layer containing (100) single-crystalline silicon (Column 8, line 10) (Claims 2 and 13), 2)... wherein in the step (c), an aspect ratio of the probe tip is determined depending on an angle formed by the sidewall (144) (Column 10, lines 41-45) of the etched device layer and an upper surface (126) (Column 10, lines 46-51 and lines 60-65) of the insulating layer (Claims 6 and 17); 3) ... wherein the sidewall passivation film is formed by growing a wet thermal oxide film or a silicon nitride film on the sidewall of the device layer (Column 8, lines 21-24)

(Claims 7 and 18); 4)... wherein in the step (h), the probe tip is formed by defining a (111) surface by employing a wet etching method (Column 8, lines 8-10) using a KOH solution, a TMAH (tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide) solution or the like (Column 8, lines 4-7) (Claims 8 and 19); 5)...wherein in the step (j), the third mask (110) is formed by using a silicon oxide film or a silicon nitride film (Column 7, lines 18-21) (Claims 9 and 20); 6)... wherein in the step (k), the handle layer is etched by using a wet etching method (Column 9, lines 28-30) or a dry etching using the DRIE (Claim 10); and 7)... further comprising the step of oxidation process on a surface of the device layer to sharpen the probe tip after performing the step (i) (Column 11, lines 9-16) (Claim 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuyama (U.S. Patent No. 6,415,653 dated July9, 2002 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Soh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,618,760 dated April 8, 1997).

Matsuyama shows the structure substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraphs. However, he lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that: 1)... wherein the first mask uses a wet thermal oxide film or a TEOS oxide film (Claims 3 and 14); 2)...wherein the second mask is a TEOS oxide film, a metal film using Cr or Al, or a PR (photoresist) layer (Claims 4 and 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,671

Art Unit: 2812

Soh et al. teaches the conventional masking technique to grow an oxide film by etching with an HF solution for 6.0 to 6.5 minutes (Column 4, lines 66-67 and Column 5, lines 1-4) (Claims 3 and 14). In addition, Soh et al. teaches the material that oxidizes under the influence of an SPM tip may be used for the top layer, including metals such as Cr, Al (Column 8, lines 50-54) (Claims 4 and 15).

Both Matsuyama and Soh et al. are analogous art because they both from the same field of endeavor of Scanning Probe Microscope. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Soh et al. teaching of the etching a pattern on a substrate with Matsuyama's teaching of having a cantilever for use in a scanning probe microscope includes a lever portion having a probe portion made from a semiconductor substrate. The motivation in doing so would result in providing a cantilever having a sharp and long probe which easily prevents contact between a supporting portion of the cantilever and a sample to be measured.

6. Claims 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuyama (U.S. Patent No. 6,415,653 dated July9, 2002 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ayazi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,909,221 filed July 31, 2003).

Matsuyama shows the structure substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraphs. However, he lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that: 1)... wherein in the step (c), the device layer is etched by employing a dry etching method using a DRIE (deep reactive ion etching) (Claims 5 and 16).

Ayazi et al. teaches the etching can be performed by using deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) (Column 7, line 27).

Both Matsuyama and Ayazi et al. are analogous art because they both from the same field of endeavor of Semiconductor material. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Ayazi et al. teaching of the etching method to Matsuyama's teaching of using a cantilever in a scanning probe microscope in order to constantly fabricating a cantilever including a lever portion which has a resonance frequency in the order of MHz and a spring constant of 40-50 N/m or smaller.

Page 6

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kitazawa et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,918,286 filed May 8, 2002) teaches SPM Cantilever. Quate et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,066,358 dated November 19,1991) teaches Nitride Cantilevers with Single Crystal Silicon Tips. Akamine et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,021,364 dated June 4, 1991) teaches Microcantilever with Integral Self-Aligned Sharp Tetrahedral.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Huong Dinh whose telephone number is 571 272-9014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (8:30AM-5:00PM Eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,671

Art Unit: 2812

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

thd

PRIMARY EXAMINER